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Abstract
NUMA architectures posed the challenge of rethinking parallel applications due to the non-homogeneity introduced by their design, and their real benefits are limited to the characteristics of the particular workload. We name as partitionable transactional workloads such workloads that may be exploited in the distributed nature of NUMA, such as transactional workloads where data and accesses can be easily partitioned among the so-called NUMA zones. However, in case those workloads require the synchronization on shared data, we have to face the issue of exploiting the NUMA architecture also in the concurrency control for their transactions. Therefore, in this paper we present a NUMA-aware concurrency control for transactional memory that we designed for promoting scalability in scenarios where both the transactional workload is prone to scale, and the characteristics of the underlying memory model are inherently non-uniform, such as NUMA architectures.

Categories and Subject Descriptors D.1.3 [Programming Techniques]: Concurrent Programming
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1. Overview
Transactional Memory (TM) [8] is a powerful programming abstraction for implementing concurrent applications. TM frees programmers from the complexity of managing multiple threads that access the same set of shared objects, and its programmability advantage is compelling with the advent of multi-core architectures, which have exacerbated the multithreaded programmability challenge by requiring greater exposition of concurrency in software for improved application performance.

To continue to push the performance boundary of today’s multi-core architectures, hardware designers have continually increased the per-processor core count in multi-core architectures. This has had many consequences. In particular, scalability issues of single bus-based architectures have led to Non-Uniform Memory Access (NUMA) [12] designs, which are increasingly becoming the de facto standard for emerging multi-many-core platforms (e.g., Intel QuickPath Interconnect, Opteron/HyperTransport [1, 16]).

In a NUMA design, one memory socket is physically attached to one processor socket (or to one die inside a socket), and it represents what is called a “NUMA zone”. We say that a thread executing on a socket accesses a local NUMA zone when it accesses a memory location within the NUMA zone connected to that socket. Otherwise, we say that the thread accesses a remote NUMA zone. In the former case the latency is very small (e.g., 9 nsec in DDR3-2000 memory [10]), since there is no usage of the shared bus, while in the latter case the latency and the hardware contention is higher because the usage of the shared bus is required.

This distinction is of particular interest for a broad range of transactional workloads, which we call partitionable transactional workloads, wherein application data can be partitioned such that transactions mostly access data that are stored in the partitions where they are running, and therefore they are well-suited for exploiting the characteristics of NUMA. Examples of such workloads include most OLTP applications, e.g., TPC-C [15] benchmark, as well as applications that have driven the design of scalable in-memory distributed transactional systems, e.g., [13].

In this paper we focus on maximizing the performance of such workloads when they are executed on software TM (STM) that are deployed on NUMA architectures. The reason is simple: today’s STM implementations are not ready to scale on NUMA architectures even when the workloads are partitionable. To support our claim we conducted an experimental study by using a typical commodity NUMA machine: a 64-core AMD Opteron 6376 server (2.3 GHz, and 128 GB of memory), which is structured in 4 sockets of 2 dies each, with a NUMA zone of 8 cores per die.

In the study, we assessed the performance of the most popular TM algorithms in the literature, including TL2 [4], SwissTM [6], TinySTM [7], RingSTM [14], and NOrec [3], by configuring TL2, SwissTM, and TinySTM to rely on a lock table that is partitioned across NUMA zones. We used a version of the Bank benchmark (i.e., a micro-benchmark that represents bank accounts and enables monetary transfers among the accounts), which meets our definition of partitionable workload: the bank accounts were partitioned across NUMA zones, and threads operated only on accounts stored in their local NUMA zone. Each transaction produces 10 transfer operations involving two bank accounts each.

Figure 1(a) shows the throughput (committed transactions per second) under varying number of threads. We can notice that all of the algorithms stop scaling with more than 16 threads, where 16 is the maximum number of parallel threads allowed within a single socket, since the cost of updating their global meta-data, e.g., a shared logical clock, becomes significantly high in that case.

In this paper we propose a NUMA-aware concurrency control for transactional memories, in order to obtain scalable performance in case of partitionable transactional workloads on NUMA machines. Our solution has two key design principles: i) threads running in different NUMA zones do not interfere with each other if the transactions they are executing do not conflict on common transactional objects; and ii) threads executing in the same zone are
allowed to share information any time to speed up their execution, as
this cooperation does not significantly affect the performance of
threads executing on other zones.

The core idea is to treat conflicts involving transactions that
execute within the same zone differently from those that involve
transactions on different zones. This allows the concurrency con-
trol to resolve some conflicts simply and efficiently within a sin-
gle zone, e.g., by detecting a change of a shared per-zone logical
clock, as updating shared variables locally at a zone is a fast oper-
ation. On the other hand, identifying inter-zone conflicts involves
a different mechanism: each time a transaction accesses a remote
NUMA zone, it leverages that zone’s local view about the status
of the memory to incrementally build the memory snapshot that it
is allowed to read from. Thus, an inter-zone conflict is detected as
soon as a transaction is required to update its readable snapshot.
The conflict causes an abort whenever the snapshot cannot be up-
dated without violating the correctness of the resulting execution.

2. Evaluation

We implemented our concurrency control in C++ language, and we
integrated it into the RSTM framework\(^1\). We conducted a com-
prehensive evaluation by using the version of Bank benchmark and a
64-core machine as described in Section 1, and generating a per-
centage of conflict among threads running on different NUMA
zones that is less than 10%.

As competitors, we considered two state-of-the-art STM algo-
rithms that rely on global shared meta-data, i.e., TL2 and NOrec;
two disjoint-access parallel [9] algorithms, i.e., TLC [2] and Strict
2-Phase Locking (2PL); and an optimized version of TL2 that was
designed to alleviate the frequency of accesses to the shared global
meta-data, i.e., TL2 GV5 [11]. We also developed a version of
NOrec that was enhanced with our implementation of the NUMA-
aware lock of [5], specifically, the C-BO-BO Lock.

Figure 1(b) shows the throughput under varying number of
threads. We notice that our NUMA-aware TM provides the high-
est throughput and best scalability; its throughput almost linearly
increases by increasing the number of threads. TLC’s performance
is very close to our solution since the level of inter-zone contention
of this workload is very low, and TLC does not suffer from the typi-
cal high number of unnecessary aborts, which is common under
slightly more contending workloads [2]. 2PL also scales well, but
the overhead of acquiring locks on both read and written objects
at encounter-time is evident, even with such low contention, and
therefore has a much lower throughput than our solution and TLC.
In contrast, TL2-GV5 stops scaling when the system size exceeds
32, as it uses a centralized single timestamp. TL2 has the same be-
behavior starting from 16 threads. NOrec and NOrec-NUMA have
very limited scalability up to 16 threads, due to NOrec’s sequential
commit phase, although it is clear that using a NUMA-aware lock
enhances performance.
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